# Star formation relations CO SLEDs across the J-ladder and redshift

Thomas R. Greve (University College London)

### DeMoGas is:

Manolis Xilouris (NOA) Ioanna Leonid (NOA) Padelis Papadopoulos (Cardiff) Paul van der Werf (Leiden) Axel Weiss (MPIfR) Zhi-Yu Zhang (PMO/ROE)

http://demogas.astro.noa.gr/







Nottingham February 2014

# Why are star formation relations interesting?

IC342



**THINGS** 

# Why are star formation relations interesting?

IC342

# <sup>12</sup>CO J=1-0 (molecular gas)



THINGS NRAO 12m

# Why are star formation relations interesting?

IC342

<sup>12</sup>CO J=1-0 (molecular gas)

IR emission (star formation)



THINGS NRAO 12m Spitzer 70um

On kpc scales, SFR is related to H<sub>2</sub> gas rather than HI

# Star formation relations in our Galaxy



# Star formation relations in our Galaxy



Star formation relations in our Galaxy





KINETIC TEMPERATURE

Genzel+92

'The Schmidt-Kennicutt law'

<u>Schmidt (1959):</u>

$$\rho_{\rm SFR} \sim \rho^N \qquad N \sim 2$$

Kennicutt (1998): (If constant scale height)

$$\Sigma_{\rm SFR} = A \Sigma_{\rm gas}^N \qquad N \sim 1.4$$

### Issues:

- X(CO)
- Metallicity
- H<sub>2</sub>/(H<sub>2</sub>+HI) fraction

• .



Resolved on sub-kpc scales

<u>100pc-1kpc scales:</u>

- SFR-H<sub>2</sub> correlation
- <u>< 50-100pc scales:</u>
  - 'break-down' due to undersampling
- H<sub>2</sub>-HI transition:
  - ~10M<sub>☉</sub> pc<sup>-2</sup>
  - No SFR-HI correlation

### Issues:

- X(CO)
- Metallicity
- H<sub>2</sub>/(H<sub>2</sub>+HI) fraction

• .

### SINGS, THINGS, KINGFISH surveys...



### Bi-modal SF laws? З Sequence of Starburgts SMGs • Starburst mergers vs. quiescent disks • Enhanced SFE in mergers 2 8 • Compact vs. extended gas configuration • ISM energy density (UV, CR, turb.) Evidence for bi-modal SF in FIR-line deficits? i snce of Disks Disk/ 10 [C II] 158µm [O I] 145µm [O III] 88µm [N II] 122µm FIR FIR FIR FIR Quiescent 10-4 10 10 Merger/ Line flux / FIR SB -10-4 10 [O I] 63µm [N III] 57µm [O III] 52µm FIR FIR FIR 10 HII galaxy INER 10-3 Seyfert & QSO ldi+10 Blue Compact Dwarf Unclassified 10 High-z galaxy NGC 4418 Gracia-Carpio+11 Arp 220 10-4 10<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>0</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>2</sup> 10<sup>3</sup> 100 10<sup>0</sup> $10^{2}$ 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 10 10<sup>2</sup> $10^{3}$ 10 10<sup>2</sup>

 $L_{FIR}/M_{H_2}[L_{Sun}/M_{Sun}] ~\sim \text{SFE}$ 

### Bi-modal SF laws?

- Starburst mergers vs. quiescent disks
- Enhanced SFE in mergers
- Compact vs. extended gas configuration
- ISM energy density (UV, CR, turb.)

### Issues:

- Two separate X(CO) used!
- Heterogenous samples
- Poorly sampled SEDs / L<sub>IR</sub> uncertain
- Sizes are uncertain at high-z
- AGN contamination harder to assess
- Mixing J-transitions



### Bi-modal SF laws?

- Starburst mergers vs. quiescent disks
- Enhanced SFE in mergers
- Compact vs. extended gas configuration
- ISM energy density (UV, CR, turb.)

### Issues:

- Two separate X(CO) used!
- Heterogenous samples
- Poorly sampled SEDs / LIR uncertain
- Sizes are uncertain at high-z
- AGN contamination harder to assess
- Mixing J-transitions



### **Proxy-relations**



### Important side-note:

Kennicutt-Schmidt law:  $\Sigma_{\rm SFR} = A \Sigma_{\rm gas}^N$ 

Proxy: luminosity relation  $\log L_{\rm IR} = \alpha \log L_{\rm mol} + \beta$ 

• Size measurements difficult: few interferometric or single-dish on-the-fly CO/HCN/CS maps exist.

• CO (and HCN/CS) conversion to gas mass dubious, and requires extensive modeling.

 Dense gas mass fraction require multi-line observations and multiphase (LVG) modeling (Greve et al. 2009)

Dense gas proxy-relations



### Important side-note:

Kennicutt-Schmidt law:  $\Sigma_{\rm SFR} = A \Sigma_{\rm gas}^N$ 

Proxy: luminosity relation  $\log L_{\rm IR} = \alpha \log L_{\rm mol} + \beta$ 

• Size measurements difficult: few interferometric or single-dish on-the-fly CO/HCN/CS maps exist.

• CO (and HCN/CS) conversion to gas mass dubious, and requires extensive modeling.

 Dense gas mass fraction require multi-line observations and multiphase (LVG) modeling (Greve et al. 2009)

- (U)LIRGs have higher HCN/CO (i.e. dense gas fractions) than normal spirals.
- This explains the super-linear IR-CO relations ('mixing' populations)
- Bimodal IR-CO relations, with  $f_{dense}$  setting the IR-CO normalisation ( $\beta$ )





Gracia-Carpio+12

# Theory - a universal SF law?

 $t_{\rm ff} \propto \rho^{-1/2}$  $SFR \sim \frac{M_{\rm gas}}{t_{\rm ff}} \propto \rho^{1.5}$  $\sim \frac{1\%}{\tau_{\rm ff}}$  $\Sigma_{\rm SFR} = f_{\rm H_2} \epsilon_{\rm ff} \frac{\Sigma_{\rm gas}}{\tau_{\rm ff}}$ 

 SF laws are set by <u>local</u> conditions/ time-scales (not global, e.g. t<sub>dyn</sub>, t<sub>orb</sub>)

- Only gravity (plus SF efficiency)
- Explains observed slopes
- Different scale heights (h) removes bi-modality
- ...but observational determinations of h are highly uncertain



# Predictions by the only two models on the market

Krumholz & McKee+05; Krumholz & Thompson+07; Narayanan+08

• Both assume an underlying Kennicutt-Schmidt law:  $ho_{
m SFR} \propto 
ho_{
m gas}^{1.5}$ 

• Highly turbulent (*Mach number*) ISM, where SF occurs in virialized, (near-)isothermal gas clouds at low temps. ( $T_k$ ~10-30K)

• thus valid  $L_{IR}$ -L'<sub>mol</sub> predictions over a large density range, but only applicable for lines with  $E_J/k_B < 30K$ , i.e low-J lines of CO and heavy rotor molecules



# Predictions by the only two models on the market

Krumholz & McKee+05; Krumholz & Thompson+07; Narayanan+08

• Both assume an underlying Kennicutt-Schmidt law:  $ho_{
m SFR} \propto 
ho_{
m gas}^{1.5}$ 

• Highly turbulent (*Mach number*) ISM, where SF occurs in virialized, (near-)isothermal gas clouds at low temps. ( $T_k$ ~10-30K)

• thus valid  $L_{IR}$ -L'<sub>mol</sub> predictions over a large density range, but only applicable for lines with  $E_J/k_B < 30K$ , i.e low-J lines of CO and heavy rotor molecules



# **Open questions**

• what is the nature of the SF laws wrt the dense (>10<sup>4</sup>cm<sup>-3</sup>) gas, i.e. the ISM phase that is actively forming the stars?

- departure from linearity in the SF-law slope (a) ?
- changes in the normalization ( $\beta$ )?
- what determines a and  $\beta$ ?

• can we tie the observed SF laws to physical mechanisms governing/regulating star formation, and if so what are they?

• are the SF laws truly universal, i.e. are they the same on GMC-scales, entire galaxies at low- and high-z, different types of galaxies (disks, starbursts)?

# Methodology

• we study the SF laws for the entire CO rotational ladder up to J=13-12 for a large, well-defined sample of local IR-luminous galaxies (U/LIRGs) as well as high-z dusty star forming galaxies (DSFGs)

• we also make use of recent SF law results inferred from heavy rotor molecules like CS and HCN (Zhang et al., 2014)

Zhang et al. (2014) Greve et al., submitted

# **Observing the CO ladder in local (U)LIRGs**



### Herschel



### z < 0.1 (U)LIRG sample



<u>A Ground-Based Multi-Line Survey of local (U)LIRGs</u> 55 sources from IRAS BGS (z < 0.1):

- CO 1-0, 2-1, 3-2, 4-3
- HCN 1-0, 2-1, 3-2, 4-3
- HCO+ 1-0
- CS 2-1, 3-2, 5-4, 7-6 (Zhang+14)

>350hrs. This is the largest multi-line survey to date + literature data. Papadopoulos+12

• Full CO rotational ladder, dense+FIR lines

- Comprehensive ISM characterization
- Disentangling Starburst vs. AGN

<u>Herschel Comprehensive (U)LIRG Emission Survey</u> HERCULES (P.I.: van der Werf). 29 sources from IRAS BGS (z < 0.1):

- CO 4-3 to 14-13
- [CI] 369µm and 609µm
- H<sub>2</sub>O lines

100hrs. van der Werf+10

# **Observing the CO ladder in local (U)LIRGs**



**JCMT** 



Molecular lines observed in NGC6240



<u>A Ground-Based Multi-Line Survey of local (U)LIRGs</u> 55 sources from IRAS BGS (z < 0.1):

- CO 1-0, 2-1, 3-2, 4-3
- HCN 1-0, 2-1, 3-2, 4-3
- HCO+ 1-0
- CS 2-1, 3-2, 5-4, 7-6 (Zhang+14)

>350hrs. This is the largest multi-line survey to date + literature data. Papadopoulos+12

• Full CO rotational ladder, dense+FIR lines

- Comprehensive ISM characterization
- Disentangling Starburst vs. AGN

<u>Herschel Comprehensive (U)LIRG Emission Survey</u>HERCULES (P.I.: van der Werf).29 sources from IRAS BGS (z < 0.1):</li>

- CO 4-3 to 14-13
- [CI] 369µm and 609µm
- H<sub>2</sub>O lines

100hrs. van der Werf+10

# **Observing the CO ladder in local (U)LIRGs**





<u>A Ground-Based Multi-Line Survey of local (U)LIRGs</u> 55 sources from IRAS BGS (z < 0.1):

- CO 1-0, 2-1, 3-2, 4-3
- HCN 1-0, 2-1, 3-2, 4-3
- HCO+ 1-0
- CS 2-1, 3-2, 5-4, 7-6 (Zhang+14)

>350hrs. This is the largest multi-line survey to date + literature data. Papadopoulos+12

Full CO rotational ladder, dense+FIR lines

- Comprehensive ISM characterization
- Disentangling Starburst vs. AGN

<u>Herschel Comprehensive (U)LIRG Emission Survey</u> HERCULES (P.I.: van der Werf). 29 sources from IRAS BGS (z < 0.1):

- CO 5-4 to 14-13
- [CI] 369µm and 609µm
- H<sub>2</sub>O lines

100hrs. van der Werf+10



Papadopoulos et al., accepted; Zhang et al., in prep.

# SEDs and LIR of local (U)LIRGs

- Compilation of pan-chromatic continuum data (optical, mid-IR, PACS+SPIRE, IRAS,...)
- SED fitting with modified CIGALE (Burgarella+05) using Chary & Elbaz+01 and Dale & Helou+02 templates
- We adopt FIR (50-300 $\mu$ m) luminosities (clean compared to 8-1000 $\mu$ m) (but no differences...)

- Is CO IR beam-matching an issue? NO
- SPIRE-FTS beam FWHM range ~16"-42" Ground-based FWHM range ~11"-14"
- (U)LIRGs are generally compact (<8"). We have CO(1-0)/IR/cm sizes for all our sources all within the CO beams (Papadopoulos+12)



• For sub-LIRG sources beam-correction is crucial!

# SEDs and LIR of local (U)LIRGs

- Compilation of pan-chromatic continuum data (optical, mid-IR, PACS+SPIRE, IRAS,...)
- SED fitting with modified CIGALE (Burgarella+05) using Chary & Elbaz+01 and Dale & Helou+02 templates
- We adopt FIR (50-300 $\mu$ m) luminosities (clean compared to 8-1000 $\mu$ m) (but no differences...)

- Is CO IR beam-matching an issue? NO
- SPIRE-FTS beam FWHM range ~16"-42" Ground-based FWHM range ~11"-14"
- (U)LIRGs are generally compact (<8"). We have CO(1-0)/IR/cm sizes for all our sources all within the CO beams (Papadopoulos+12)
- For sub-LIRG sources beam-correction is crucial!





# **Observing the CO ladder in high-z (U)LIRGs**

<u>Dusty Star Forming Galaxies (DSFGs):</u> • A compilation of all (sub)mm-selected z > 1DSFGs with CO line detections.

- Obvious AGN discarded
- Multiple observations of the same CO transition were averaged, and intrinsic line luminosities recalculated
- A total of 59 unlensed DSFGs and 17 lensed DSFGs (published as of Jan 2014)
- ~1.5 decades worth of work!



IRAM PdBI (Europe)







# $\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & &$



Frayer+98+99; Neri+03; Greve+05; Tacconi+06,+08; Hainline+06; Riechers+09+13; Ivison+11; Bothwell+11+13; Carilli+09+12 etc etc

### HERMES J105751.1+573027 z=2.95

# SEDs and L<sub>IR</sub> of high-z DSFGs

• Painstaking effort to collect pan-chromatic continuum data

- CIGALE fits, identical to local (U)LIRG fits
- Only sources with >3 FIR/submm data points across the dust peak, and with good overall CIGALE fits were included in the analysis

### • Final sample: 49 DSFGs (lensed+unlensed)





### Finding the correct counterpart can be a nightmare...



# SEDs and LIR of high-z DSFGs

• Painstaking effort to collect pan-chromatic continuum data

- CIGALE fits, identical to local (U)LIRG fits
- Only sources with >3 FIR/submm data points across the dust peak, and with good overall CIGALE fits were included in the analysis

### • Final sample: 49 DSFGs (lensed+unlensed)







# SEDs and LIR of high-z DSFGs

• Painstaking effort to collect pan-chromatic continuum data

- CIGALE fits, identical to local (U)LIRG fits
- Only sources with >3 FIR/submm data points across the dust peak, and with good overall CIGALE fits were included in the analysis

### • Final sample: 49 DSFGs (lensed+unlensed)













 $\log(L_{IR})$  [L<sub>0</sub>]



 $\log(L_{IR})$  [L<sub>0</sub>]



 $\log(L_{IR})$  [L<sub>o</sub>]

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

# L<sub>IR</sub>-L'<sub>mol</sub> slope vs. n<sub>crit</sub>(mol)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

# LIR-L'mol slope vs. ncrit(mol)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

Greve, in submitted.

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

Greve, in submitted.

# LIR-L'mol slope vs. ncrit(mol)

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

# LIR-L'mol slope vs. ncrit(mol)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Radiation pressure and the Eddington limit**

• The maximal (L<sub>IR</sub>/M<sub>dense</sub>)<sub>Edd</sub> ~ 500L☉/M☉ set by radiation pressure (Scoville & Polletta 2001)

• IR-CO relation can be derived in the case of Eddington limited ('maximal') star formation (Andrews & Thompson 2011)

$$L_{\rm Edd} = 4\pi G c \kappa^{-1} X_{\rm CO} L_{\rm CO}'$$

normalisation ( $\beta$ )

- Local (U)LIRGs and high-z DSFGs are highly dust-obscured and have nearly (SFR)<sub>Edd</sub> <u>on a global scale</u>
- $\bullet$  In normal galaxies (SFR)\_{Edd} occurs deep inside individual clouds, but on a global scale the SFR is diluted by  $f_{dense}$

• Super-linear slopes come about from varying  $f_{dense}(L_{IR})$ , or rather varying  $\beta(L_{IR})$ 

### 1014 Super Eddington 1013 $L_{edd} \propto X_{co}^{ulirg} L_{co}' / \kappa_{fir}$ **ULIRGs/DSFGs** 1012 10<sup>L</sup>lR (L<sub>☉</sub>) LIRGs •diluted' by a factor $f_{dense} = M_{dense}/M_{tot}$ 1010 Normal galaxies Sub Eddington 109 108 109 1010 1011 1012 107 $L'_{co}$ (K km s<sup>-1</sup> pc<sup>2</sup>)

Note the correlations are linear!

Bringing back bi-modal (global) CO SF laws!

Andrews & Thompson (2011)

# **Radiation pressure and the Eddington limit**

• The maximal (L<sub>IR</sub>/M<sub>dense</sub>)<sub>Edd</sub> ~ 500L☉/M☉ set by radiation pressure (Scoville & Polletta 2001)

Note the correlation is linear! IR-HCN relation can be derived in the case of 1014 Eddington limited ('maximal') star formation Super (Andrews & Thompson 2011) Eddington  $L_{\rm Edd} = 4\pi G c \kappa^{-1} X_{\rm HCN} L'_{\rm HCN}$ 1013  $L_{Edd} \propto X_{HCN} L'_{HCN} / \kappa_{FIR}$ **ULIRGs/DSFGs** normalisation ( $\beta$ ) 1012  $L_{IR}$  ( $L_{\odot}$ ) LIRGs 1011 The density regimes probed by HCN (and CS) are (SFR)<sub>Edd</sub> regions, regardless of which galaxy the region resided in. 1010 Normal galaxies A universal, linear dense SF law Sub Eddington

109

106

107

108

 $L'_{HCN}$  (K km s<sup>-1</sup> pc<sup>2</sup>)

- Employs self-gravity and feedback
- Explains low-J CO and dense gas slopes
- Dense tracers are counting SF 'units'

Andrews & Thompson (2011)

1010

1011

10<sup>9</sup>

# What about the high-J CO lines?

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

# What about the high-J CO lines?

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

# What about the high-J CO lines?

The decrease in  $\alpha$  and increase in  $\beta$  at high-J can be explained by a simple argument:

$$\alpha_{\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{J},\mathrm{J}-1}} = \frac{d\log L_{\mathrm{IR}}}{d\log L'_{\mathrm{HCN}_{1,0}}} \times \frac{d\log L'_{\mathrm{HCN}_{1,0}}}{d\log L'_{\mathrm{CO}_{1,0}}}$$
$$= \alpha_{\mathrm{HCN}_{1,0}} \left(1 + \frac{d\log l_{\mathrm{dense}_{\mathrm{J},\mathrm{J}-1}}}{d\log L'_{\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{J},\mathrm{J}-1}}}\right)$$

$$l_{\text{dense}_{\mathrm{J},\mathrm{J}-1}} = L'_{\mathrm{HCN}_{1,0}}/L'_{\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{J},\mathrm{J}-1}}$$

determines deviations in  $\alpha_{COJ,J-1}$  from unity and depends on both the dense gas fraction and the global excitation

<u>Low-J</u>:  $I_{dense} \sim dense$  gas fraction  $\sim constant$  for a 'homogeneous' sample and so  $\alpha \sim 1$ 

<u>High-J:</u>  $I_{dense} \sim R_{d,d-w} = M_{dense}/M_{dense-warm} > 1$ 

# Evidence of a new warm, dense gas phase in (U)LIRGs

• Evidence for an increasing mass and/or excitation of the warm and dense (d-w) gas phase relative to the dense gas reservoir (d)

• Indicates the presence of a significant warm ( $T_k > 100K > T_{dust}$ ) and dense (>10<sup>4</sup>cm<sup>-3</sup>) gas component that is not tied to the star formation via UV/optical heating. Suggestive of alternative heating mechanisms (cosmic rays, turbulence/shocks)

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Evidence of a new warm, dense gas phase in (U)LIRGs

- A generic characteristic of low- and high-z merger/starbursts:
  - *global* CO SLEDs remain nearly flat out to J=13-12!
  - Radically different from MW/quiescent CO SLEDs
- This is impossible to maintain on a *global* scale simply by UV-photons

![](_page_47_Figure_5.jpeg)

# **Caveats and possible biases**

- AGN contamination
  - high IR luminosities (bias  $\alpha$  high)
  - XDRs 'boosted' high-J lines (bias  $\alpha$  low)
  - Removed AGN

![](_page_48_Figure_5.jpeg)

- Differential lensing (Blain+98; Hezaveh+12; Serjeant+12)
  - high IR luminosities (bias α high)
  - XDRs 'boosted' high-J lines (bias  $\alpha$  low)
  - But correlations unchanged if we discard lensed DSFGs

![](_page_48_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_11.jpeg)

• Small dynamical range in luminosities for high-J lines

• Delineated LIR-LCO relations across the full CO J-ladder for a statistically significant sample of (U)LIRGs

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Full multi-line, multi-phase LVG modeling of the ISM

• Explore SFR - M<sub>dense</sub> relations instead of luminosity relations (work in progress) based on *accurate source-by-source M<sub>dense</sub> estimates!* 

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

12CO & CI flux density NGC6240

CO (Herschel)

CI (370 and 609µm)

CO (ground-based telescopes)

10

co [Jy km/s]

5000

• Spatially resolved high-J CO, HCN, CS observations with ALMA. Resolved dense gas SF relations.

![](_page_51_Figure_2.jpeg)

Frequency (GHz)

- For the highest-J lines, (>1THz), single-dish telescopes will remain important
- Herschel Science Archive (large part still unexplored), and SPICA, CCAT

![](_page_52_Figure_3.jpeg)

# The End

Thanks for listening, ...and sorry for missing the plane!

# Star formation relations in the high density regime

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Luminosity (IR-CO) relations at high redshifts

 $\alpha = 1.15 \pm 0.12$ 

# Slope determinations:

- Greve+05 (12 SMGs + LIRGs):  $\alpha = 1.5 \pm 0.3$
- Iono+09 (SMGs+LIRGs, CO(3-2) only):  $\alpha = 1.10 \pm 0.03$
- Genzel+10 (10 SMGs + LIRGs):
- Bothwell+13 (>30 SMGs + LIRGs):  $\alpha = 1.20 \pm 0.13$
- Ivison+11 (SMGs+LIRGs, CO(1-0) only):  $\alpha = 0.89 \pm 0.04$

# Bi-modal SF laws?

- Starburst mergers vs. quiescent disks
- Enhanced SFE in mergers
- Compact vs. extended gas configuration
- ISM energy density (UV, CR, turb.)

# <u>Issues:</u>

- Heterogenous samples
- $\bullet$  Poorly sampled SEDs /  $L_{\text{IR}}$  uncertain
- AGN contamination harder to assess
- Mixing J-transitions

![](_page_57_Figure_17.jpeg)

# Luminosity (IR-CO) relations of local galaxies IR-CO(1-0)

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Luminosity (IR-dense gas) relations of local galaxies IR-HCN/HCO+(3-2)

- Evidence for sub-linear slopes at high densities?
- We would expect linear relations at even higher  $n_{crit}$  than HCN(1-0)

![](_page_59_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Luminosity (IR-dense gas) relations of local galaxies IR-HCN/HCO+(3-2)

• Evidence for sub-linear slopes at high densities?

Small, heterogenous samples

**Issues**:

Optical Class:

α

• HCO+ ionic molecule, sensitive to e- abundance

Not corrected for IR-mol beam matching

![](_page_60_Figure_5.jpeg)

# Luminosity (IR-dense gas) relations of local galaxies IR-HCN(1-0)

- (U)LIRGs have higher HCN/CO (i.e. dense gas fractions) than normal spirals.
- This explains the super-linear IR-CO relations ('mixing' populations)
- Bimodal IR-CO relations, with fdense setting the IR-CO normalisation (R)

![](_page_61_Figure_4.jpeg)